

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on November 4th, 2009, has been entered.

2. Claims 1-18 have been presented for examination and are rejected.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 4th, 2009, have been fully considered but they are deemed not persuasive.

4. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that:

(A) The prior art of Tomkow does not teach inclusion of a pixel capable of being altered by the opening of a recipient. Instead, Tomkow only teaches adding a header tag indicating that the message has been registered or a tag containing instructions that allow the recipient to send a registered reply. Further, the response in Tomkow is a

Art Unit: 2441

separate email that is created and sent, rather than Applicant's claimed altering of the picture in the message prior to sending to the server.

As to point (A), Tomkow teaches the inclusion of a pixel capable of being altered to indicate that the message has been opened by a recipient and transmitting the message and pixel from the server to the recipient (pg. 13, lines 19-31 to pg. 14, line 10, where, e.g., a unique visible element is added at server 14 for notification that the message has been opened by a recipient, followed by transmitting the message and pixel; see further explanation in pg. 14, lines 23-31). The pixel is then altered when the message is opened at the recipient (e.g., see pg. 14, lines 30-32, followed by response of pg. 15, lines 1-3). Subsequently, both the message and the altered pixel are transmitted in response from the recipient to the server (pg. 14, lines 4-10, where the message is designed so that the notification will be returned to server 14 with both the message and pixel). Applicant has argued that a distinction exists between the tags/added message elements in Tomkow, yet it is respectfully submitted that nothing has been offered as a basis to distinguish between the two. Given the broadest reasonable interpretation of a "pixel" element, Tomkow thus reads on the present claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2441

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomkow (WIPO Publication No. WO 01/10090 A1, published February 8th, 2001).

As per claim 1, Tomkow teaches a method of transmitting a message from a sender to a recipient through a server displaced from the recipient, including the steps at the server of:

receiving the message at the server from the sender, (Tomkow, pg. 9, line 19 to pg. 10, line 3, and fig. 1)

adding a pixel to the message at the server, the pixel capable of being altered to indicate that the message has been opened by a recipient, (Tomkow, pg. 12, lines 13-28 overview; see, e.g., implementation details of pg. 13, line 19, to pg. 14, line 31, where, e.g., a unique visible element is added at server 14 for notification that the message has been opened by a recipient, followed by transmitting the message and pixel; see also further explanation in pg. 14, lines 23-31)

transmitting the message and the pixel from the server to the recipient, altering the pixel in the message when the message is opened at the recipient to indicate that the message was opened, transmitting the message and the altered pixel from the recipient to the server (e.g., see pg. 14, lines 30-32, followed by response of pg. 15, lines 1-3; see also pg. 14, lines 4-10, where the message is designed so that the notification will be returned to server 14 with both the message and pixel)

Art Unit: 2441

when the message is opened at the recipient, providing an encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, at the server, and transmitting the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the encrypted hash to the sender (Tomkow, see pg. 22, line 14 to pg. 25, line 2, including the creation of an encrypted hash of the message with an indication of the opening of the message at the recipient; see also figs. 2E and 2F).

As per claim 2, Tomkow teaches the system further including the steps at the server of:

receiving at the server the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient and the encrypted hash of the message, and determining the authenticity of the message, including the opening of the message at the recipient, on the basis of the hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the hash decrypted from the encrypted hash (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7 validation process where the message is received at the server).

As per claim 3, Tomkow teaches the system further including the steps at the server of:

receiving from the sender the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, hashing the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, to provide a first digital fingerprint of the message including the indication of the opening of the message

Art Unit: 2441

at the recipient, decrypting the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the message at the recipient, to provide a second digital fingerprint of the message including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and comparing the first and second digital fingerprints to determine the authenticity of the message including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7 validation process where the message is received at the server, including where a first and second digital fingerprint of the message are created).

As per claim 4, Tomkow teaches the system further including the steps at the server of:

indicating to the sender the results of the comparison, and disposing of the message, and including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, when the message and the encrypted hash are transmitted by the server to the sender (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7).

As per claim 5, Tomkow teaches the system further wherein the server receives the message from the sender through the internet, the server transmits the message to the recipient through the internet, the server receives the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, through the internet, and the server transmits the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the

Art Unit: 2441

recipient, through the internet to the sender (Tomkow, pg. 9, line 19 to pg. 10, line 3, and fig. 1).

As per claim 6, Tomkow teaches the system further wherein the server disposes of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message, when the message and the encrypted hash are transmitted by the server to the sender through the internet (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7).

As per claims 7 and 13, Tomkow teaches a method of transmitting a message from a sender to a recipient through a server displaced from the recipient, including the steps at the server of:

receiving the message at the server from the sender, transmitting the message from the server to the recipient through a network including at least one interim network station unknown to the sender at the time the message is transmitted, (Tomkow, pg. 9, line 19 to pg. 10, line 3, and fig. 1)

the message including an alterable pixel for indicating the opening of the message at the recipient, (Tomkow, pg. 12, lines 13-28 overview; see, e.g., implementation details of pg. 13, line 19, to pg. 14, line 31)

altering the pixel to provide an indication that the message was opened by the recipient when the recipient opens the message and transmitting the message and the

Art Unit: 2441

indication that the message was opened by the recipient to the server, (Tomkow, pg. 12, lines 13-28 overview; see, e.g., implementation details of pg. 13, line 19, to pg. 14, line 31, where, e.g., a unique visible element is added at server 14 for notification that the message has been opened by a recipient, followed by transmitting the message and pixel; see also further explanation in pg. 14, lines 23-31)

receiving the message, including the indication of the opening of the message the recipient, at the server, (Tomkow, see pg. 22, line 14 to pg. 25, line 2).

receiving an attachment at the server including an indication of the interim network stations which receive the message during the transmission of the message from the server to the recipient and back to the server, (Tomkow, see, e.g., pg. 5, lines 6-33 and pg. 22, line 14 to pg. 25, line 2, where the interim network stations are recorded and attached)

providing encrypted hashes of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the attachment, and transmitting to the sender the message, including the indication of the opening of the message the recipient, and the attachment, and the encrypted hashes of the message, including the opening of the message at the recipient, and the attachment (Tomkow, see pg. 22, line 14 to pg. 25, line 2, including the creation of an encrypted hash of the message with an indication of the opening of the message at the recipient; see also figs. 2E and 2F).

As per claim 8, Tomkow teaches the system further including the steps at the server of:

Art Unit: 2441

receiving at the server the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, the attachment and the encrypted hashes of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the attachment, and determining the authenticity of the message, including the opening of the message at the recipient, on the basis of the hash of the messages, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the hash decrypted from the encrypted hash and the authenticity of the attachment on the basis of the hashed attachment and the hash decrypted from the encrypted hash of the attachment (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7).

As per claims 9 and 15, Tomkow teaches the system further including the steps at the server of:

reviewing from the sender the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, the attachment and the encrypted hash of the attachment, hashing the message, including the indication of the opening of the message the recipient, and the attachment to provide first digital fingerprints of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient and the attachments, decrypting the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the attachment to provide second digital fingerprints of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient and the attachment, and

Art Unit: 2441

comparing the first and second digital fingerprints of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, to determine the authenticity of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient and first and second fingerprints of the attachment to determine the authenticity of the attachment (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7).

As per claims 10 and 16, Tomkow teaches the system further including the steps at the server of:

indicating to the sender the results of the comparison, and disposing of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, and the attachment and encrypted hash of the attachment when the message, the attachment and the encrypted hashes are transmitted by the server to the sender (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7).

As per claim 11, Tomkow teaches the system further wherein the server receives the message from the sender through the internet and wherein the server transmits the message to the recipient through the internet and wherein the server re-transmits the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, to the

Art Unit: 2441

recipient through the internet and wherein the server transmits the message through the internet to the sender (Tomkow, pg. 9, line 19 to pg. 10, line 3, and fig. 1)

As per claim 12, Tomkow teaches the system further wherein the server indicates the results of the comparison to the sender through the internet and wherein the server disposes of the message, the attachment and the encrypted hashes of the message and the attachment when the message and the encrypted hash are transmitted by the server to the sender through the internet (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7).

As per claim 14, Tomkow teaches the system further including the steps at the server of: receiving the message, the attachment and the encrypted hash of the combination of the message and the attachment from the sender, hashing the combination of the message and the attachment to provide a first digital fingerprint and decrypting the encrypted hash of the combination of the message and the attachment to form a second digital fingerprint, and determining the authenticity of the message and the attachment on the basis of the first and second digital fingerprints (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7 validation process where the message is received at the server, including where a first and second digital fingerprint of the message are created).

Art Unit: 2441

As per claims 17, Tomkow teaches the system further wherein the server receives the message from the sender through the internet, the server transmits the message to the recipient through the internet, the server receives the message, including the indication of the opening of the message the recipient, through the internet, and the server transmits the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the recipient, through the internet to the sender (Tomkow, pg. 9, line 19 to pg. 10, line 3, and fig. 1)

As per claims 18, Tomkow teaches the system further wherein the server disposes of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message at the internet, and the encrypted hash of the message, including the indication of the opening of the message, when the message and the encrypted hash are transmitted by the server to the sender through the internet (Tomkow, see pg. 26, lines 9-30 and pg. 39, lines 2-27 with corresponding fig. 7).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2441

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/NT/
Nicholas Taylor
Examiner
Art Unit 2441

/Larry Donaghue/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454